



# Leaf trichome diversity, acylsugar concentration, and their relationships to leaf area in *Solanum galapagense*

Ilan Henzler<sup>a</sup> and Hamid Khazaei<sup>\*,a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> World Vegetable Center, Shanhua, Tainan, 74151, Taiwan

<sup>b</sup> Current address: Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Helsinki, Finland

**Abstract:** Glandular trichomes are physical and chemical barriers used by some tomato wild relatives to confer resistance against insect pests and diseases transmitted by them. *Solanum galapagense* has been identified as one of the potential sources of insect pest resistance. The present study aimed to examine the trichome diversity and acylsugar concentration of 26 accessions of *S. galapagense* along with one cultivated tomato (*S. lycopersicum*) and one cherry tomato (*S. l. cerasiforme*) cultivar. The results revealed large phenotypic variation among *S. galapagense* accessions for all studied traits. The *S. galapagense* accessions had significantly higher trichome types IV density on the adaxial and abaxial surfaces of the leaf and greater acylsugar concentration but a smaller leaflet area than the cultivated tomato. The selected cherry tomato line represents greater trichome type IV density and acylsugar concentration than other groups. The acylsugar concentration was positively associated with trichome type IV but negatively associated with trichome type V on both leaf surfaces. DNA markers revealed the presence of two previously identified whitefly-resistance alleles in *S. galapagense* accessions. This study will support breeding programmes aiming to improve insect pest resistance in tomato cultivars using crop wild relatives.

**Keywords:** acylsugar, crop wild relatives, leaflet area, *S. Galapagense*, tomato, trichomes

**Citation:** Henzler, I., Khazaei, H. (2024). Leaf trichome diversity, acylsugar concentration, and their relationships to leaf area in *Solanum galapagense*. *Genetic Resources* 5 (9), 1–12. doi: [10.46265/genresj.NLVC6810](https://doi.org/10.46265/genresj.NLVC6810).

© Copyright 2024 the Authors.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Introduction

Cultivated tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) is the most valuable vegetable crop by fruit weight globally, generating revenues of US\$70 billion from 187 million tonnes of fresh fruit in 2020 (FAO, 2022). Improving fruit quality and yield through domestication in this crop, has led to the loss of important plant defence characteristics (Paudel *et al*, 2019), with tomato cultivation now heavily relying on pesticides to control biotic stresses (Dari *et al*, 2016). The chemical treatments are not only costly, but they also harm the environment (Damalas and Eleftherohorinos, 2011). Developing resistant tomato cultivars could reduce the reliance on pesticides and their associated burden.

Tomato wild relatives are important sources of genetic diversity and are commonly used as reliable sources of resistance genes against biotic and abiotic stress (Ebert and Schafleitner, 2015; Khazaei and Madduri, 2022). Sources of resistance to insect pests have been identified in some tomato wild species, including *S. galapagense*, *S. habrochaites*, *S. pennellii*, *S. cheesmaniae*, and *S. pimpinellifolium* (Kennedy, 2003; Schillmiller *et al*, 2012; Leckie *et al*, 2016; Rakha *et al*, 2017b; Vosman *et al*, 2018). Among them, *S. galapagense* has been identified as one of the most promising sources of insect pest resistance (Firdaus *et al*, 2012; Lucatti *et al*, 2013). It has been the focus of most tomato breeding programmes aiming to improve biotic and abiotic stress resistance due to its close relationship to cultivated tomatoes (Vendemiatti *et al*, 2022). The *S. galapagense* species originates from the Galápagos Islands, an archipelago 1,000km west of Ecuador, where

\*Corresponding author: Hamid Khazaei  
([hamid.khazaei@gmail.com](mailto:hamid.khazaei@gmail.com))

it formed a diverse range of phenotypes due to the islands' unique ecosystem (Darwin *et al.*, 2003). While genetic studies revealed a narrow genetic diversity within the *S. galapagense* germplasm (Darwin, 2009; Pailles *et al.*, 2017), it presents distinct morphological characteristics. These include yellow-green foliage, orange fruit at maturity, small seed size and highly divided leaves (Darwin *et al.*, 2003; Fenstemaker *et al.*, 2022).

Plants have developed a variety of defence mechanisms to counter biotic and abiotic stress conditions (Levin, 1973; Oksanen, 2018). One of these is the presence of fine outgrowths, called trichomes, on the surface of flowers, fruits, stems and leaves as physical and chemical lines of defence. Numerous studies have been conducted on the nature of these epidermal outgrowths, including function, quantification and effectiveness (Glas *et al.*, 2012; Vendemiatti *et al.*, 2022). Seven types of trichomes are characterized on plants, four are termed glandular due to the cells at their tip which can store and secrete metabolites (Luckwill, 1943). The presence of glandular trichome types IV and VI has been associated with higher insect pest resistance (Lucatti *et al.*, 2013; Firdaus *et al.*, 2013; Rakha *et al.*, 2017b; Zhang *et al.*, 2020). These types of glandular trichomes deter insects through the release of secondary metabolites such as acylsugars, which cause behaviour changes and reduced survival in the arthropods that land on them (Antonious *et al.*, 2005; Bleeker *et al.*, 2011, 2012; Dias *et al.*, 2016). In addition to acylsugars, other trichome metabolites such as terpenoids, methylketones and flavonoids play a key role in plant defence mechanisms (Huchelmann *et al.*, 2017). Two major genomic regions conferring whitefly resistance (*Wf-1* and *Wf-2*), largely based on glandular trichomes type IV, have been identified in *S. galapagense* (accession id PRI95004; (Firdaus *et al.*, 2013; Vosman *et al.*, 2019)). Most likely, they regulate the formation of glandular trichome type IV on the leaf epidermis and subsequently control the accumulation of acylsugar on trichome type IV.

Trichome diversity and density, and their relationship with insect pest resistance have been investigated in tomato wild relatives, including *S. galapagense* (Firdaus *et al.*, 2012; Lucatti *et al.*, 2013; Rakha *et al.*, 2017b). An aspect deserving further attention is to harness the genetic diversity of morphological and biochemical characteristics of large germplasm of *S. galapagense* accessions and their relationships with leaf area. So, this study aims to uncover differences in trichome diversity, leaf characteristics and acylsugar concentration in this species. This is supported by the analysis of DNA markers associated with insect pest resistance phenotypes (Firdaus *et al.*, 2013).

## Materials and methods

### Plant material

This study was conducted on 26 accessions of *S. galapagense*, one accession of cherry tomato (*S. lycopersicum*

var. *cerasiforme*, abbreviated as *S. l. cerasiforme*), and one cultivated tomato (*S. lycopersicum*). Detailed information on accession number, origin and habitat at collection sites are presented in Table 1. All *S. galapagense* accessions originated from the Galápagos Islands, Ecuador (Figure 1). More than a third were collected on Isla Isabela, the largest island. This study is the first to screen accessions VI037867, VI037869, VI045262, VI057457, VI063173, VI063178, VI063179, VI063181, VI063182 and VI063183 for insect-pest resistance-related traits. The cultivated tomato is a breeding line from the World Vegetable Center (WorldVeg) carrying multiple tomato yellow leaf curl virus resistance genes (*Ty-1/3* and *Ty-2*). The SM131 cherry tomato is a selection from accession VI063893 due to its high density of trichome type IV (unpublished data). All accessions were acquired from the WorldVeg genebank.

### Seed treatment

Tomato seeds acquired from the WorldVeg genebank were treated with hydrogen chloride for 15 minutes and washed under running water. They were then treated with trisodium phosphate for one hour, washed under running water and dried in an incubator room at 60% for two days.

### Growing conditions

Experiments were conducted in a glasshouse at the WorldVeg in Shanhua, Taiwan. Seeds were sown in a nursery on 25 February 2022, and after two weeks, were transplanted into 8-inch pots filled with cultivable soil collected from tomato fields. The pots were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replicates. Plants were watered once a day in the morning and fertilized with a blend of 15–15–15 (N–P–K) at week four after transplanting. Relative humidity was about 80±15%. The temperature was set to 28±3°C during the day and to 22±2°C during the night.

### Measurements

#### Leaflet area

The leaflet area was measured ten weeks after sowing using the third leaf from the apex. It was measured using a LI-3100 leaf area meter (LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA). The same leaflet was also used for subsequent trichome and acylsugar measurements.

#### Trichome analysis

Analysis of leaf trichomes was conducted eight and ten weeks after sowing using a stereo microscope (Leica® M-Series Stereo microscopes, Ernst Leitz Wetzlar, GmbH, Germany). Leaf samples were collected at the third node from the apex using sterile forceps. The density of glandular trichome types I, IV, V and VI were measured from four randomly chosen leaflets within 1mm<sup>2</sup>.

**Table 1.** Species, accession number, origin and habitat at collection sites of *Solanum* species used in this study. More information about accessions can be found at <https://genebank.worldveg.org/> (accessions with ‘VI’ code) and <http://www.ars-grin.gov/> (accessions with ‘PI’ code). Passport data was obtained for accessions with ‘LA’ code from the TGRC, <http://tgrc.ucdavis.edu/>. \*, this accession was first classified as *S. cheesmaniae* and later reclassified as *S. galapagense*.

Species	Accession No.	Origin	Elevation (m)	Other name(s)	Habitat/phenotype
<i>S. galapagense</i>	VI007099	Bartolome, Galápagos Islands	15	LA0317, PI231257	Lava flow, amongst basalt rock, very arid, coastal arid zone
	VI037239	Isabela, Galápagos Islands	40	LA0436	Sandy, near lava outcrop
	VI037241	Pinta, Galápagos Islands	150	LA0526, SAL254	West side Abingdon island
	VI037339	Isabela, Galápagos Islands	5	LA1401, PI365897	Among rocks in large beach with magma and lava flows at each end, collected few metres above tide line
	VI037340	Isabela, Galápagos Islands	200	LA1408, PI379039	Ridge of Cape Berkeley volcano
	VI037867	Floreana, Galápagos Islands	-	LA1136, TL01054	Garnder near Floreana islet
	VI037868	Rabida, Galápagos Islands	10	LA1137, TL01055	On cinder ash
	VI037869	Santiago, Galápagos Islands	650	LA1141, TL01056	Interior walls of crater, purple fruit colour (Fenstermaker <i>et al.</i> , 2022)
	VI045262	Santiago, Galápagos Islands	-	Selection from LA1141, TL01572	-
	VI057400	Fernandina, Galápagos Islands	-	LA483, 6201A, SAL241	-
	VI057408	Isabela, Galápagos Islands	-	Selection from LA1401	-
	VI057457	Galápagos Islands	-	LA3909	-
	VI063173	Bartolome, Galápagos Islands	15	LA0317	Lava flow, amongst basalt rock, very arid
	VI063174	Isabela, Galápagos Islands	30	LA0438, SAL192	Rocky basalt outcropping in first hills to W. (7km) from Villamil, 1km from coast
	VI063175	Isabela, Galápagos Islands	20	LA0480A, SAL238	Along coast in bay facing Cowley Islet - not far from shore, in broken terrain without shade
	VI063176	Santa Cruz, Galápagos Islands	-	LA0528, SAL256	Academy Bay
	VI063177	Fernandina, Galápagos Islands	580	LA0530, SAL258	Inside crater at edge
	VI063178	Galápagos Islands	5	-	-
	VI063179	Santiago, Galápagos Islands	6	LA0747	In lava formation near shore
	VI063180	Santiago, Galápagos Islands	3	LA0748	In lava formation
VI063181	Isabela, Galápagos Islands	4	LA0929	Growing in lava, roots in sand, above sea level	

Continued on next page

Table 1 continued

Species	Accession No.	Origin	Elevation (m)	Other name(s)	Habitat/phenotype
	VI063182	Isabela, Galápagos Islands	4	LA0930	Growing in lava, roots in sand, same as LA 0929
	VI063183	Bartolome, Galápagos Islands	-	LA1044	-
	VI063184	Isabela, Galápagos Islands	400	LA1452	On the trail from Punta Ecuador to crater rim - mid-elevation in longer of two lava flows
	VI063185	Isabela, Galápagos Islands	100	LA1627	Volcanic cone above Darwin's salt lake - likely Tagus Cove
	VI063187*	Santiago, Galápagos Islands	10	LA1411, PI379040	On soft bright red rock formation, margin of beach
<i>S. l. cerasiforme</i>	VI063893	Fernandina, Galápagos Islands	-	SM131	-
<i>S. lycopersicum</i>	CLN3682C	Breeding line	-	AVTO1424	Pedigree: CLN3682F1-10-3-4-27-3-16

The number of trichomes was counted from four different microscopic fields at 5X magnification and converted to the number per mm<sup>2</sup> using a standard scale. The identification of trichome types on the leaf surface followed a schematic drawn by Luckwill (1943). After measuring trichomes on the adaxial surface, the leaflets were flipped to measure the trichomes on the abaxial surface.

### Acylsugar concentration

Analysis of acylsugar content was conducted at eight and ten weeks after sowing. Polyethylene vials were used to collect four 3±1cm lateral leaflets from each plant at the third node from the apex. Samples were dried in an incubator at 29°C for three days before washing them with 3ml methanol. Of this suspension, 100µl was added to 100µl 6M Ammonium Hydroxide in 96 well ELISA plates with two biological replicates, following a protocol developed by Martha Mutschler (Savory, 2004). The samples were incubated overnight and left to dry under the hood for three days before adding 200µl PGO reagent to each well and placing it on an orbital shaker. After three hours, absorbance values at 490nm were measured using BioTek's uQuant (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) and converted into acylsugar concentration using a sucrose standard curve.

### DNA extraction and DNA marker assay

Genomic DNA was extracted from 10-week-old plants using the CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle, 1990). The *Wf-1* and *Wf-2* detailed marker sequences presented in Firdaus *et al* (2013) were used for genotyping the studied germplasm for the presence/absence of corresponding bands. The term 'Wf' stands for whitefly and represents markers previously identified for whitefly resistance. These markers are located in tomato chromosomes 2 and 9, respectively. Purified DNA samples were digested with restriction enzymes DdeI and HpyCH4IV for *Wf-1* and *Wf-2* markers, respectively. Digested samples were amplified along with marker-specific primers using PCR reactions as described by Mahfouze and Mahfouze (2019). The PCR-amplified samples were run on a 5% acrylamide gel for 30 minutes at 100V and stained using an ETBR-out stain. The gel was scanned in a Bio-1000F scanner, and the amplified bands visualized using Microtek MiBio Fluo software (both from MicroTek International, Inc., Hsinchu, Taiwan).

### Statistical analysis

The R statistical package (R Core Team, 2021) was used for data analysis. Correlation analysis was performed to determine the relationships between morphological measurements. The dataset was subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the SEM (standard error of means) was calculated. Principal component analysis (PCA) was employed to illustrate relationships between accessions and leaf morphological

measurements. The online mapping tool at maps.co was used to plot the coordinates of accessions in Figure 1 (<https://maps.co/>). The geographic coordinates of *S. galapagense* accessions were obtained from the Tomato Genetics Resource Center (C.M. Rick TGRC, <https://tgrc.ucdavis.edu/>) and the WorldVeg (<https://genebank.worldveg.org/#/>) genebank databases.

## Results

Trichome densities varied significantly among studied germplasm (Table 2 and Supplemental Table 1). Trichome type IV density ranged from 6.3 to 13.5 for the abaxial and 0.7 to 10.9 for the adaxial surface of *S. galapagense* accessions, while the cultivated tomato (CLN3682C) had none on either surface. Accessions VI057408, VI063174, VI063177, VI063185 and VI057400 had the greatest number of trichome type IV on both surfaces. The cherry tomato (VI063893) had 22% greater (on both surfaces) trichome type IV compared to the average values of *S. galapagense* accessions. Within *S. galapagense* accessions, trichome type VI varied from 0.4 to 2.7 on the abaxial and 0.3 to 2.8 on the adaxial side. For the abaxial surface, this was 30% and 14% lower than cultivated and cherry tomato cultivars, respectively. For the adaxial surface, it was 94% lower and 30% higher than cultivated and cherry tomatoes, respectively (Table 2). Most studied accessions had fewer trichomes on the adaxial than on the abaxial side, with 13% less for type IV and 40% less for type VI. Comparing 8- and 10-week trichome phenotyping at the abaxial surface, *S. galapagense* trichome densities remained stable with a 3% increase for type IV, and a 12% decrease in type VI.

Acylsugar concentration varied significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) among *S. galapagense* accessions, ranging from 5.43 to 58.03 µmol/g. The cultivated tomato cultivar (CLN3682C) showed a very low acylsugar concentration of 0.94µmol/g and the cherry tomato (VI063893) showed a moderate level of 21.02µmol/g (Table 2). On average, 10-week-old *S. galapagense* accessions had 45% greater acylsugar concentrations than 8-week-old plants. Accessions VI063181, VI037869 and VI045262 had the highest concentration of acylsugar, all above 50µmol/g.

The leaflet area varied significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) among *S. galapagense* accessions, ranging from 2.43 to 9.15cm<sup>2</sup>. Leaflet area was significantly greater for cultivated tomato, with 14.36cm<sup>2</sup> than for all *S. galapagense* accessions. On average, cherry tomato leaflets were 10% larger than *S. galapagense* accessions (Table 2).

Correlations between trichome types and acylsugar concentration are presented in Table 3. Acylsugar concentration was positively associated with trichome type IV and negatively associated with trichome type V. The negative correlation between acylsugar and trichome type VI was only significant at the 10-week-old plant stage. In addition, leaflet area was negatively correlated with trichome IV density of abaxial surface



**Table 2.** Mean  $\pm$  SD (standard deviation) for trichome IV and VI measurements (abaxial and adaxial surfaces) at ten weeks after sowing and acylsugar concentration and leaflet area on 26 *Solanum galapagense* accessions along with one cherry tomato and one cultivated tomato genotype. SEM, standard error of means.

Species	Accession No.	Trichome types per mm <sup>2</sup> – Abaxial		Trichome types per mm <sup>2</sup> – Adaxial		Acylsugar ( $\mu$ mol/g)	Leaflet area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	
		IV	VI	IV	VI			
<i>S. galapagense</i>	VI063181	8.0 $\pm$ 5.7	1.6 $\pm$ 1.3	7.1 $\pm$ 1.6	2.6 $\pm$ 0.9	58.02 $\pm$ 8.32	8.01 $\pm$ 1.84	
	VI037869	10.5 $\pm$ 1.6	0.6 $\pm$ 0.4	9.1 $\pm$ 1.8	0.2 $\pm$ 0.5	57.68 $\pm$ 15.42	6.12 $\pm$ 2.67	
	VI045262	11.3 $\pm$ 1.2	1.0 $\pm$ 0.4	8.7 $\pm$ 1.3	0.4 $\pm$ 0.1	54.02 $\pm$ 11.27	5.95 $\pm$ 1.56	
	VI057408	13.5 $\pm$ 1.3	1.0 $\pm$ 0.5	9.1 $\pm$ 0.7	2.8 $\pm$ 0.7	46.64 $\pm$ 12.57	3.83 $\pm$ 0.65	
	VI063184	10.1 $\pm$ 1.1	1.1 $\pm$ 0.7	7.5 $\pm$ 1.8	1.4 $\pm$ 0.3	45.56 $\pm$ 4.43	5.92 $\pm$ 0.95	
	VI063187	9.2 $\pm$ 1.3	1.0 $\pm$ 0.8	8.6 $\pm$ 1.4	0.5 $\pm$ 0.2	44.25 $\pm$ 9.63	3.81 $\pm$ 1.45	
	VI063185	12.0 $\pm$ 0.5	1.5 $\pm$ 0.3	9.0 $\pm$ 3.0	1.1 $\pm$ 0.9	43.49 $\pm$ 5.71	5.15 $\pm$ 0.45	
	VI057400	11.8 $\pm$ 0.9	0.9 $\pm$ 0.6	10.8 $\pm$ 0.8	0.3 $\pm$ 0.3	43.07 $\pm$ 4.51	4.40 $\pm$ 0.18	
	VI063177	12.1 $\pm$ 1.0	1.1 $\pm$ 0.5	9.7 $\pm$ 2.5	0.4 $\pm$ 0.1	41.75 $\pm$ 11.28	5.15 $\pm$ 1.46	
	VI037241	10.1 $\pm$ 1.4	0.3 $\pm$ 0.1	6.0 $\pm$ 1.4	0.5 $\pm$ 0.2	34.00 $\pm$ 0.01	2.88 $\pm$ 0.17	
	VI037339	7.7 $\pm$ 0.6	0.7 $\pm$ 1.5	9.3 $\pm$ 2.5	0.3 $\pm$ 0.0	32.40 $\pm$ 0.01	6.89 $\pm$ 0.0	
	VI063182	11.1 $\pm$ 1.9	0.8 $\pm$ 0.3	8.5 $\pm$ 1.0	0.6 $\pm$ 0.3	32.39 $\pm$ 6.25	6.15 $\pm$ 1.78	
	VI057457	10.0 $\pm$ 2.7	2.5 $\pm$ 1.5	9.0 $\pm$ 1.2	0.3 $\pm$ 0.0	31.87 $\pm$ 6.97	2.65 $\pm$ 1.01	
	VI063174	12.4 $\pm$ 0.9	1.0 $\pm$ 0.5	10.8 $\pm$ 1.5	1.2 $\pm$ 0.6	30.58 $\pm$ 8.12	6.81 $\pm$ 3.33	
	VI063179	6.2 $\pm$ 5.5	2.0 $\pm$ 1.8	4.3 $\pm$ 0	1.3 $\pm$ 0.0	25.20 $\pm$ 1.86	6.59 $\pm$ 0.83	
	VI037340	11.3 $\pm$ 1.3	1.1 $\pm$ 0.9	8.5 $\pm$ 1.5	0.8 $\pm$ 0.6	24.65 $\pm$ 2.52	7.07 $\pm$ 1.03	
	VI037239	9.7 $\pm$ 0.7	1.2 $\pm$ 0.7	9.5 $\pm$ 1.1	1.1 $\pm$ 0.2	24.60 $\pm$ 0.01	6.60 $\pm$ 1.2	
	VI063175	12.0 $\pm$ 1.4	2.6 $\pm$ 1.6	7.5 $\pm$ 1.1	1.6 $\pm$ 1.4	22.53 $\pm$ 5.67	4.60 $\pm$ 1.62	
	VI037868	10.6 $\pm$ 2.3	1.8 $\pm$ 0.4	9.5 $\pm$ 1.6	1.4 $\pm$ 0.5	22.08 $\pm$ 5.05	5.40 $\pm$ 1.43	
	VI063183	10.3 $\pm$ 1.5	2.4 $\pm$ 1.4	7.2 $\pm$ 1.7	0.8 $\pm$ 0.1	22.07 $\pm$ 1.52	2.43 $\pm$ 1.66	
	VI037867	9.0 $\pm$ 0.4	1.5 $\pm$ 0	7.7 $\pm$ 1.8	0.7 $\pm$ 0.5	18.46 $\pm$ 1.81	5.17 $\pm$ 0.38	
	VI063176	9.3 $\pm$ 5.3	0.9 $\pm$ 0.9	7.7 $\pm$ 5.4	0.5 $\pm$ 0.5	16.06 $\pm$ 4.36	4.37 $\pm$ 1.86	
	VI063173	11.6 $\pm$ 0.5	2.4 $\pm$ 1.6	9.4 $\pm$ 2.8	1.6 $\pm$ 1.2	15.29 $\pm$ 4.70	5.46 $\pm$ 1.92	
	VI063180	10.3 $\pm$ 1.8	1.4 $\pm$ 0.5	8.1 $\pm$ 2.5	0.3 $\pm$ 0.3	15.23 $\pm$ 2.15	7.07 $\pm$ 1.77	
	VI063178	10.0 $\pm$ 1.3	1.6 $\pm$ 1.5	8.7 $\pm$ 2.7	1.1 $\pm$ 0.3	14.8 $\pm$ 3.61	5.32 $\pm$ 0.98	
	VI007099	6.6 $\pm$ 2.9	1.6 $\pm$ 0.5	0.6 $\pm$ 0.8	1.8 $\pm$ 0.5	5.43 $\pm$ 5.88	9.15 $\pm$ 5.5	
	Range ( <i>S. galapagense</i> )		6.2–13.5	0.3–2.6	0.6–10.9	0.2–2.8	5.43–58.02	2.43–9.15
	Mean ( <i>S. galapagense</i> )		<b>10.3<math>\pm</math>1.7</b>	<b>1.4<math>\pm</math>0.6</b>	<b>8.2<math>\pm</math>2.0</b>	<b>1.0<math>\pm</math>0.7</b>	<b>31.6<math>\pm</math>14.9</b>	<b>5.50<math>\pm</math>1.59</b>
	<i>S. l. cerasiforme</i>	VI063893	<b>12.6<math>\pm</math>1.5</b>	<b>1.6<math>\pm</math>0.4</b>	<b>10.0<math>\pm</math>2.2</b>	<b>0.7<math>\pm</math>0.3</b>	<b>21.02<math>\pm</math>15.93</b>	<b>6.10<math>\pm</math>0.94</b>
	<i>S. lycopersicum</i>	CLN3682C	<b>0.0</b>	<b>2.0<math>\pm</math>0.8</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>4.6<math>\pm</math>2.3</b>	<b>0.94<math>\pm</math>0.23</b>	<b>14.36<math>\pm</math>3.64</b>
SEM		<b>1.7</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>16.95</b>	<b>1.3</b>	

**Table 3.** Correlations between trichome types and acylsugar (AS) concentration at 8-week (N = 26) and 10-week (N = 28) intervals on abaxial surface. \*, P < 0.05; \*\*, P < 0.01. Data for 8-week measurements is presented in [Supplemental Table 2](#).

Trichome type	AS (8-week-old)	AS (10-week-old)
Type I	-0.140	-0.238
Type IV	0.473*	0.410*
Type V	-0.540**	-0.459*
Type VI	-0.347	-0.471*



only characterized 10 (Rakha *et al.*, 2017b) or 11 accessions (Lucatti *et al.*, 2013). Our study revealed a wider variation for trichome type IV, however, smaller values for acylsugar concentration compared to a similar study by Rakha *et al.* (2017b). The cherry tomato genotype (VI063893) was previously characterized by high trichome type IV density (unpublished data). Our results confirm that its trichome type IV density was higher than 96% of studied *S. galapagense* accessions (Table 2). This cherry tomato genotype may be used as a source of insect resistance in cherry and cultivated tomato germplasm.

A moderate positive correlation ( $P < 0.05$ ) was observed between acylsugar concentration and trichome type IV at two different sampling times. Previous studies also reported a similar trend (Lucatti *et al.*, 2013; Rakha *et al.*, 2017b). A possible explanation for this could be the poor phenotyping of trichomes under the microscope, as counting the number of trichomes is an inherently delicate task. This difficulty highlights the need for high throughput methods to measure trichomes. Another explanation for the lack of strong correlation between trichome IV density and acylsugar could be that acylsugar production is not solely linked to trichome density but also their metabolic activity, whereby the same trichome types in different accessions produce varying levels of acylsugar (Zhang *et al.*, 2008; Bergau *et al.*, 2015). Following this reasoning, isolated trichomes could be tested for metabolic activity through GC-MS as described for *L. hirsutum* by Fridman *et al.* (2005).

A negative correlation was observed between trichome type IV and leaflet area, a trend that has been reported in other plant species, including *S. berthaultii* Hawkes (Pelletier, 1990) and silver birch (*Betula pendula* Roth) (Lihavainen *et al.*, 2017). Mymko and Avila-Sakar (2019) reported that unexpanded leaves had greater trichome density and resistance than expanded (larger) leaves at different growth stages of tomatoes. In our study, leaflet area was one of the main drivers in allocating tomato species into three different groups (Figure 3). Accession VI007099 had the greatest leaflet area and lowest trichome density and acylsugar concentration among *S. galapagense* and presented leaf morphology between wild and cultivated tomatoes. On the other hand, accession VI063181 had the second-largest leaflet area among *S. galapagense* accessions but also the greatest acylsugar concentration among all accessions. This controversy was also evident by the weak correlation between leaflet area and acylsugar concentration (Figure 2). These results suggest that acylsugar concentration may not be derived by leaf size and trichome type IV in *S. galapagense* germplasm.

No clear pattern between leaf trichome measurements and accessions' geographical origin in the Galápagos Islands was observed. The only accession from Pinta Island, VI037241, was separated from other *S. galapagense*. Pinta Island is the northernmost of the main islands and has a semi-arid ecosystem (Hunter

and Gibbs, 2014). The main driver for the deviation of other *S. galapagense* from the core cluster (Figure 3) was leaflet area (VI063181, VI063179, VI037339 and VI007099) and higher trichome type VI density (VI063175 and VI063183). The three accessions with the highest trichome type IV density were all found among volcanic rock with VI057408 and VI06374 found within 1km of the sea on Isla Isabela and VI063177 inside a volcanic crater on Isla Fernandina. An explanation for this correlation could be the presence of silicon in volcanic soil as it has been shown to boost plants' resistance to pests by accumulating magnesium at the base of trichomes (Ma, 2004).

Some *S. galapagense* accessions originating from the Galápagos Islands have been exposed to dry and saline growing conditions (Pailles *et al.*, 2020) and high insect pressure (Peck, 2008), thus may represent a generous source of alleles that can be explored to improve biotic and abiotic stress. As this species can easily hybridize with cultivated tomatoes (Rick, 1961), they have been used as donors for stress tolerance genes, which could be transferred into commercial varieties by introgression breeding (Zamir, 2001). For example, VI037339 (LA1401) and VI007099 accessions have already been utilized as donors of high trichome IV density into modern cultivated tomato cultivars through interspecific crosses (Andrade *et al.*, 2017; Rakha *et al.*, 2017b; DaSilva *et al.*, 2019; Vendemiatti *et al.*, 2022). However, in this study, these accessions were not among those with the highest trichome type IV density and acylsugar concentration. This could be due to the genotype-by-environment interactions as the experiments were carried out under different growing conditions.

From the analysis of DNA markers, we could see that most *S. galapagense* accessions were homozygous for *Wf-1* and *Wf-2* but neither *S. lycopersicum* cultivars. This suggests that the morpho-chemical measurements in this study were linked to the genetic background of *S. galapagense* accessions. However, the cherry tomato genotype VI063893 (SM131), which showed high levels of trichome type IV and acylsugar, did not amplify either band of the DNA markers. This was not surprising as those DNA markers were developed from an interspecific population derived from *S. galapagense* (Firdaus *et al.*, 2013). A potential reason why the cultivated tomato accession (CLN3682C) did not show the resistance markers is that the related genes may have been lost during domestication. We conclude that *Wf-1* and *Wf-2* may be more suitable to be used in genetic materials derived only from *S. galapagense*. The other possibility is that the source(s) of resistance in VI063893 is non-allelic to *Wf-1* and *Wf-2*.

In conclusion, our study focused on screening a large *S. galapagense* germplasm, supporting breeding programmes aiming to improve insect-pest resistance in tomatoes using crop wild relatives. The ultimate goal is to develop tomato cultivars with insect-pest resistance-related traits that help farmers reduce pesticide use

and produce a high-quality and chemical-free tomato crop. The glandular trichome density and chemistry are highly affected by the genotype by environment interactions (Wang *et al.*, 2021). This needs to be considered when selecting these traits under field conditions.

### Supplemental data

[Supplemental Table 1](#). Mean  $\pm$  SD (standard deviation) for trichomes I and V measurements (abaxial and adaxial surfaces)

[Supplemental Table 2](#). Trichome density and acylsugar concentration at 8-week-old seedlings of *S. galapagense*

[Supplemental Table 3](#). DNA marker assay for *B. tabaci* whitefly resistance alleles Wf-1 and Wf-2. N, missing data

### Data availability

The data that support this study will be shared upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest

### Author contributions

IH and HK did the conceptualization, data curation and formal analysis, led the methodology, and created the original draft of the manuscript.

### Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Yun-che Hsu (Grace) and Jean Lin for their kind assistance during the experiments. We also thank Dr Roland Schafleitner (Flagship Leader, Vegetable Diversity & Improvement) and Dr Maarten van Zonneveld (Genebank manager) for their valuable suggestions during the experiments. In addition, the first author would like to thank the National Cheng Kung University (NCKU), Taiwan for its support.

### Funding

Financial support was provided by long-term strategic donors to the World Vegetable Center: Taiwan, UK aid from the UK government, the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), the Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR), Germany, Thailand, Philippines, Korea, and Japan.

### References

Andrade, M. C., Silva, A. A. D., Neiva, I. P., Oliveira, I. R. C., De Castro, E. M., Francis, D. M., and Maluf, W. R. (2017). Inheritance of type IV glandular trichome density and its association with whitefly resistance from *Solanum galapagense* accession LA1401. *Euphytica* 213, 52–52. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-016-1792-1>

Antonious, G., Kochhar, F., Simmons, T. S., and M, A. (2005). Natural products: seasonal variation in trichome counts and contents in *Lycopersicon hirsutum* f. *glabratum*. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health* 40, 619–631. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1081/PFC-200061567>

Baier, J. E., Resende, J. T. V., Faria, M. V., Schwarz, K., and Meert, L. (2015). Indirect selection of industrial tomato genotypes that are resistant to spider mites (*Tetranychus urticae*). *Genetics and Molecular Research* 14, 244–252. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.January.16.8>

Bergau, N., Bennewitz, S., Syrowatka, F., Hause, G., and Tissier, A. (2015). The development of type VI glandular trichomes in the cultivated tomato *Solanum lycopersicum* and a related wild species *S. habrochaites*. *BMC Plant Biology* 15, 289–289. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-015-0678-z>

Bleeker, P. M., Diergaarde, P. J., Ament, K., Schütz, S., Johne, B., Dijkink, J., Hiemstra, H., De Gelder, R., De Both, M. T. J., Sabelis, M. W., Haring, M. A., and Schuurink, R. C. (2011). Tomato-produced 7-epizingiberene and R-curcumene act as repellents to whiteflies. *Phytochemistry* 72, 68–73. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2010.10.014>

Bleeker, P. M., Mirabella, R., Diergaarde, P. J., Vandoorn, A., Tissier, A., Kant, M. R., Prins, M., De Vos, M., Haring, M. A., and Schuurink, R. C. (2012). Improved herbivore resistance in cultivated tomato with the sesquiterpene biosynthetic pathway from a wild relative. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 109, 20124–20129. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208756109>

Damalas, C. A. and Eleftherohorinos, I. G. (2011). Pesticide exposure, safety issues, and risk assessment indicators. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 8, 1402–1419. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph8051402>

Dari, L., Addo, A., and Dzisi, K. A. (2016). Pesticide use in the production of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) in some areas of Northern Ghana. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 11, 352–355. doi: <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2015.10325>

Darwin, S. C. (2009). The systematics and genetics of tomatoes on the Galápagos Islands (*Solanum*, Solanaceae). Ph.D. thesis, University College London, UK.

Darwin, S. C., Knapp, S., and Peralta, I. E. (2003). Taxonomy of tomatoes in the Galápagos Islands: Native and introduced species of *Solanum* section *Lycopersicon* (Solanaceae). *Systematics and Biodiversity* 1(1), 29–53. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1477200003001026>

DaSilva, A. A., Carvalho, R. D. C., Andrade, M. C., Zeist, A. R., Resende, J. T. V., and Maluf, W. R. (2019). Glandular trichomes that mediate resistance to green peach aphid in tomato genotypes from the cross between *S. galapagense* and *S. lycopersicum*.

- Acta Scientiarum Agronomy* 41. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v41i1.42704>
- De Souza-Marinke, L., De Resende, J. T. V., Hata, F. T., Dias, D. M., De Oliveira, L. V. B., Ventura, M. U., Zanin, D. S., and Filho, R. B. D. L. (2022). Selection of tomato genotypes with high resistance to *Tetranychus evansi* mediated by glandular trichomes. *Phytoparasitica* 50, 629–643. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-022-00984-6>
- Dias, D. M., Resende, J. T. V., Marodin, J. C., Matos, R., Lustosa, I. F., and Resende, N. C. V. (2016). Acyl sugars and whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) resistance in segregating populations of tomato genotypes. *Genetics and Molecular Research* 15(2). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/gmr.15027788>
- Doyle, J. J. and Doyle, J. L. (1990). Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. *Focus* 12, 13–15.
- Ebert, A. W. and Schafleitner, R. (2015). Utilization of wild relatives in the breeding of tomato and other major vegetables. *Crop Wild Relatives and Climate Change* 141–172. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118854396.ch9>
- Escobar-Bravo, R., Klinkhamer, P. G. L., and Leiss, K. A. (2017). Induction of jasmonic acid-associated defenses by thrips alters host suitability for conspecifics and correlates with increased trichome densities in tomato. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 58(3), 622–634. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcx014>
- FAO (2022). FAOSTAT - Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. url: <http://faostat.fao.org>. accessed date: 2023-03-23
- Fenstermaker, S., Sim, L., Cooperstone, J., Francis, and D (2022). *Solanum galapagense*-derived purple tomato fruit color is conferred by novel alleles of the *anthocyanin fruit* and *atroviolacium* loci. *Plant Direct* 6(4). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.394>
- Firdaus, S., Van Heusden, A. W., Hidayati, N., Supena, E. D. J., Mumm, R., De Vos, R. C. H., Visser, R. G. F., and Vosman, B. (2013). Identification and QTL mapping of whitefly resistance components in *Solanum galapagense*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 126, 1487–1501. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-013-2067-z>
- Firdaus, S., Van Heusden, A. W., Hidayati, N., Supena, E. D. J., Visser, R. G. F., and Vosman, B. (2012). Resistance to *Bemisia tabaci* in tomato wild relatives. *Euphytica* 187, 31–45. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0704-2>
- Fridman, E., Wang, J., Iijima, Y., Froehlich, J. E., Gang, D. R., Ohlrogge, J., and Pichersky, E. (2005). Metabolic, genomic, and biochemical analyses of glandular trichomes from the wild tomato species *Lycopersicon hirsutum* identify a key enzyme in the biosynthesis of Methylketones. *The Plant Cell* 17, 1252–1267. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.104.029736>
- Glas, J., Schimmel, B., Alba, J., Escobar-Bravo, R., Schuurink, R., and Kant, M. (2012). Plant glandular trichomes as targets for breeding or engineering of resistance to herbivores. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 13, 17077–17103. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms131217077>
- Huchelmann, A., Boutry, M., and Hachez, C. (2017). Plant glandular trichomes: Natural cell factories of high biotechnological interest. *Plant Physiology* 175, 6–22. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.00727>
- Hunter, E. A. and Gibbs, J. P. (2014). Densities of Ecological Replacement Herbivores Required to Restore Plant Communities: A Case Study of Giant Tortoises on Pinta Island. *Galápagos. Restoration Ecology* 22, 248–256. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.12055>
- Kennedy, G. G. (2003). Tomato, pests, parasitoids, and predators: tritrophic interactions involving the genus *Lycopersicon*. *Annual Review of Entomology* 48, 51–72. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.48.091801.112733>
- Khazaei, H. and Madduri, A. (2022). The role of tomato wild relatives in breeding disease-free varieties. *Genetic resources* 3(6), 64–73. doi: <https://doi.org/10.46265/genresj.PSES6766>
- Leckie, B. M., D'ambrosio, D. A., Chappell, T. M., Halitschke, R., De Jong, D. M., Kessler, A., Kennedy, G. G., and Mutschler, M. A. (2016). Differential and synergistic functionality of acylsugars in suppressing oviposition by insect herbivores. *PLoS ONE* 11(4). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153345>
- Levin, D. A. (1973). The role of trichomes in plant defense. *The Quarterly Review of Biology* 48, 3–15. url: <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/epdf/10.1086/407484>.
- Lihavainen, J., Ahonen, V., Keski-Saari, S., Söber, A., Oksanen, E., and Keinänen, M. (2017). Low vapor pressure deficit reduces glandular trichome density and modifies the chemical composition of cuticular waxes in silver birch leaves. *Tree Physiology* 37, 1166–1181. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx045>
- Lucatti, A. F., Van Heusden, A. W., De Vos, R. C., Visser, R. G. F., and Vosman, B. (2013). Differences in insect resistance between tomato species endemic to the Galapagos Islands. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 13, 175–175. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-175>
- Luckwill, L. C. (1943). The genus *Lycopersicon*: An historical, biological and taxonomic survey of the wild and cultivated tomatoes (U.K: Aberdeen University Press).
- Ma, J. F. (2004). Role of silicon in enhancing the resistance of plants to biotic and abiotic stresses. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 50(1), 11–18. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2004.10408447>
- Mahfouze, S. A. and Mahfouze, H. A. (2019). A Comparison between CAPS and SCAR markers in the detection of resistance genes in some tomato genotypes against *Tomato Yellow Leaf Curl Virus* and whitefly. *Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences* 12, 123–133. url: <https://jjbs.hu.edu.jo/files/vol12/n2/Paper%20number%201.pdf>.

- Mymko, D. and Avila-Sakar, G. (2019). The influence of leaf ontogenetic stage and plant reproductive phenology on trichome density and constitutive resistance in six tomato varieties. *Arthropod-Plant Interactions* 13, 797–803. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-019-09690-3>
- Oksanen, E. (2018). Trichomes form an important first line of defence against adverse environment—New evidence for ozone stress mitigation. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 41, 1497–1499. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13187>
- Pailles, Y., Awlia, M., Julkowska, M., Passone, L., Zemmouri, K., Negrão, S., Schmöckel, S. M., and Tester, M. (2020). Diverse traits contribute to salinity tolerance of wild tomato seedlings from the Galapagos Islands. *Plant Physiology* 182, 534–546. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.19.00700>
- Pailles, Y., Ho, S., Pires, I. S., Tester, M., Negrão, S., and Schmöckel, S. M. (2017). Genetic diversity and population structure of two tomato species from the Galapagos Islands. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8, 138–138. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00138>
- Paudel, S., Lin, P. A., Foolad, M. R., Ali, J. G., Rajotte, E. G., and Felton, G. W. (2019). Induced plant defenses against herbivory in cultivated and wild tomato. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 45, 693–707. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-019-01090-4>
- Peck, S. B. (2008). Galápagos Islands Insects: Colonization, Structure, and Evolution. In *Encyclopedia of Entomology*, ed. Capinera, J. L., (Dordrecht: Springer).
- Pelletier, Y. (1990). The effect of water stress and leaflet size on the density of trichomes and the resistance to Colorado potato beetle larvae (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata* [say]) in *Solanum berthaultii* Hawkes. *The Canadian Entomologist* 122(6), 1141–1147. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent1221141-11>
- R Core Team (2021). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. url: <https://www.R-project.org>.
- Rakha, M., Bouba, N., Ramasamy, S., Regnard, J. L., Hanson, and P (2017a). Evaluation of wild tomato accessions (*Solanum* spp.) for resistance to two-spotted spider mite (*Tetranychus urticae* Koch) based on trichome type and acylsugar content. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 64, 1011–1022. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-016-0421-0>
- Rakha, M., Hanson, P., and Ramasamy, S. (2017b). Identification of resistance to *Bemisia tabaci* Genn. in closely related wild relatives of cultivated tomato based on trichome type analysis and choice and no-choice assays. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 64, 247–260. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-015-0347-y>
- Rick, C. M. (1961). Biosystematic studies on Galápagos tomatoes.
- Savory, E. A. (2004). Modification of the PGO Assay for Use in Acylsugar Quantification (Ithaca, NY, USA) 36–36.
- Schillmiller, A. L., Charbonneau, A. L., and Last, R. L. (2012). Identification of a BAHD acetyltransferase that produces protective acyl sugars in tomato trichomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 109, 16377–16382. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1207906109>
- Vendemiatti, E., Therezan, R., Vicente, M. H., Pinto, M. D. S., Bergau, N., Yang, L., Bernardi, W. F., De Alencar, S. M., Zsögön, A., Tissier, A., Benedito, V. A., and Peres, L. E. P. (2022). The genetic complexity of type-IV trichome development reveals the steps towards an insect-resistant tomato. *Plants* 11(10), 1309–1309. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11101309>
- Vosman, B., Kashaninia, A., Van't Westende, W., Meijer-Dekens, F., Van Eekelen, H., Visser, R. G. F., De Vos, R. C. H., and Voorrips, R. E. (2019). QTL mapping of insect resistance components of *Solanum galapagense*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 132, 531–541. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-018-3239-7>
- Vosman, B., Van't Westende, W. P. C., Henken, B., Van Eekelen, H. D. L. M., De Vos, R. C. H., and Voorrips, R. E. (2018). Broad spectrum insect resistance and metabolites in close relatives of the cultivated tomato. *Euphytica* 214, 46–46. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-018-2124-4>
- Wang, X., Shen, C., Meng, P., Tan, G., and Lv, L. (2021). Analysis and review of trichomes in plants. *BMC Plant Biology* 21, 70–70. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-021-02840-x>
- Zamir, D. (2001). Improving plant breeding with exotic genetic libraries. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2, 983–989. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/35103590>
- Zhang, X., Thacker, R. R., and Snyder, J. C. (2008). Occurrence of 2,3-dihydrofarnesoic acid, a spidermite repellent, in trichome secretions of *Lycopersicon esculentum* × *L. hirsutum* hybrids. *Euphytica* 162, 1–9. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-007-9489-0>
- Zhang, Y., Song, H., Wang, X., Zhou, X., Zhang, K., Chen, X., Liu, J., Han, J., Wang, and A (2020). The roles of different types of trichomes in tomato resistance to cold, drought, whiteflies, and *Botrytis*. *Agronomy* 10, 411–411. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10030411>